Attorney's Docket No.: 07977-270001 / US4820

TE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Masato Yonezawa et al.

Art Unit: 1763

Serial No.: 09/820,520

Examiner: Luz L. Alejandro

Filed : March 28, 2001

Confirmation No.: 5433

Title :

: PLASMA CVD DEVICE AND DISCHARGE ELECTRODE

MAIL STOP AF

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

REPLY TO ACTION OF JULY 1, 2005

Applicant requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the present rejections in view of the following remarks.

Claims 1-4, 6-14 and 20-34 are pending, with claims 1, 10, 22, and 30 being independent.

Claims 1-4, 6-14, 26 and 27 have been rejected as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art in view of Izu (U.S. Patent No. 4,410,558). Claims 20-25 and 28-34 have been rejected as being unpatentable over admitted prior art in view of Izu, Komino (U.S. Patent No. 6,156,151) and Yamazaki (U.S. Patent No. 4,808,553). Applicant requests reconsideration and withdrawal of these rejections for the reasons presented in the prior response and because there would have been no motivation to combine Izu with the admitted prior art, Komino and Yamazaki in the manner set forth in the rejection.

In finding motivation to combine Izu and the admitted prior art, the rejection notes that such motivation would have resulted from a desire to have the resulting structure allow for a "uniform distribution of the gas across the entire substrate" and to "maintain a uniform flow of the gas." However, neither Izu nor the admitted prior art indicates that such benefits would result from introducing gas in a parallel direction or exhausting gas from openings in the electrodes, and accordingly, the desire for such benefits cannot be used as a motivation to combine the references to produce a system including those features. (While Izu mentions a desire for uniform gas flow at col. 5, lines 17-20, Izu also states that this desire is met by including a large number of feed apertures.)

In response to applicant's prior arguments that none of the cited prior art describes or suggests introducing the gas into the chamber "in a direction parallel with said first direction so